

K-Theory lecture 4

The Functor K_0

Last time: functor K_0 from the category of unital C^* -alg. to the category of abelian groups.
This time: extend K_0 to all C^* -alg.

DEF

A non-unital C^* -alg. We have the split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{A} \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\pi} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\pi(a + \alpha 1_{\tilde{A}}) = \alpha$ and $\pi^{-1}(\alpha) = \alpha 1_{\tilde{A}}$

Define $K_0(A)$ to be the kernel of the homomorphism

$$K_0(\pi) : K_0(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{C})$$

(\tilde{A} and \mathbb{C} are unital so this makes sense).

• $K_0(A)$ is an abelian group as it is a subgroup of $K_0(\tilde{A})$.

• For $p \in P_{\infty}(A)$ we have

$$K_0(\pi)([p]_0) = [\pi(p)]_0 = 0$$

so $[p]_0 \in \ker(K_0(\pi)) = K_0(A)$, and we get the map

$$[-]_0 : P_{\infty}(A) \rightarrow K_0(A).$$

• We have a S.E.S.

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(A) \xrightarrow{\star} K_0(\tilde{A}) \xrightarrow{K_0(\pi)} K_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

where \star is $K_0(\iota)$ if A is unital, and the inclusion otherwise. If A is unital, by exactness,

$$\ker(K_0(\pi)) = \text{im}(K_0(\iota)) \cong K_0(A) \leftarrow \text{old definition.}$$

Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homom. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & \mathbb{C} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \parallel \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota_B} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Applying the functor K_0 for unital C^* -alg.s, we get

By the previous SES. $\rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\tilde{A}) \xrightarrow{K_0(\pi_A)} K_0(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \exists! K_0(\varphi) \downarrow & & \downarrow K_0(\tilde{\varphi}) & & \parallel \\ K_0(B) & \rightarrow & K_0(\tilde{B}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\pi_B)} & K_0(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

- $K_0(\varphi)$ is the restriction of $K_0(\tilde{\varphi})$ to $K_0(A)$.
- If A, B unital, $K_0(\varphi)$ agrees with the previous definition: the diagram still commutes using this $K_0(\varphi)$.
- $K_0(\varphi)([p]_0) = [\varphi(p)]_0 \quad p \in P_\infty(A)$
whether A is unital or not.

PROP 4.1.3

(i) $K_0(id_A) = id_{K_0(A)} \quad \forall A$

(ii) $\varphi: A \rightarrow B, \psi: B \rightarrow C$ $*$ -homom.s then $\left. \begin{array}{l} K_0(\psi \circ \varphi) = K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\varphi) \end{array} \right\} K_0 \text{ is a functor}$

(iii) $K_0(0) = 0$

(iv) $K_0(O_{B,A}) = O_{K_0(B), K_0(A)}$

pf.

(i), (ii) by 3.2.4 and $(id_A) = id_{\tilde{A}}, (\psi \circ \varphi) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$

(iii) $\tilde{0} = \mathbb{C}$. If $A=0$ we get

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\pi = id_{\mathbb{C}}$.

$$K_0(\{0\}) = \ker(K_0(\pi)) = 0$$

(iv) Same proof as 3.2.4 (follows from (iii))

PROP 4.1.4

(i) If $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow B$ are homotopic \ast -homom. then

$$K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi),$$

(ii) If A and B are homotopy equivalent then $K_0(A) \cong K_0(B)$.

If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, $\psi: B \rightarrow A$ is a homotopy then

$$K_0(\varphi): K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B) \quad \text{and} \quad K_0(\psi): K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(A)$$

are isomorphisms with $K_0(\varphi)^{-1} = K_0(\psi)$

pf

$$(i) \varphi \sim_h \psi \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} \sim_h \tilde{\psi} \Rightarrow K_0(\tilde{\varphi}) = K_0(\tilde{\psi})$$

$$\Rightarrow K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\psi) \quad (\text{restrictions to } K_0(A))$$

(ii) Follows from (i). \square

Example

Cone of A is

$$CA = \{f \in C([0,1], A) : f(0) = 0\}.$$

Suspension

$$SA = \{f \in C([0,1], A) : f(0) = f(1) = 0\}.$$

There is a S.E.S.

$$0 \rightarrow SA \xrightarrow{\iota} CA \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$$

where ι is inclusion and $\pi(f) = f(1)$.

CA is homotopy equivalent to 0 :

$$\varphi_t: CA \rightarrow CA$$

$$\varphi_t(f)(s) = f(st).$$

The map $t \mapsto \varphi_t(f)$ is cts. $\forall f \in CA$, $\varphi_0 = 0$ and $\varphi_1 = \text{id}$. So

$$0: CA \rightarrow 0, \quad 0: 0 \rightarrow CA \quad \text{is a homotopy.}$$

Hence $K_0(CA) = 0$.

Last time: A unital, then

$$K_0(A) = \{ [p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in P_\infty(A) \}$$

$$= \{ [p]_0 - [q]_0 : p, q \in P_n(A), n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

What if A non-unital?

The Scalar Mapping

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{A} \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\pi} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

Define the scalar mapping s to be

$$s = \pi \circ \pi^{-1} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$$

i.e. $s(\alpha 1) = \alpha 1$

- $\pi(s(x)) = \pi(x)$
- $x - s(x) \in A \quad \forall x$.

Let $s_n : M_n(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{A})$ be the $*$ -homom. induced by s (componentwise s). The image of s_n is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $x - s_n(x) \in M_n(A)$. Write s instead of s_n .

$x \in \tilde{A}$ or $M_n(\tilde{A})$ is a scalar element if $x = s(x)$.

$x \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ scalar if all its entries are scalar multiples of $1_{\tilde{A}}$.

The scalar mapping is natural: if $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a $*$ -homom., then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{s} & \tilde{A} \\ \tilde{\varphi} \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{s} & \tilde{B} \end{array}$$

PROP. 4.2.2

For any C^* -alg. A ,

$$K_0(A) = \{ [p_0] - [s(p)]_0 : p \in P_\infty(\tilde{A}) \}$$

Moreover,

(i) For $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\tilde{A})$, T.F.A.E.:

(a) $[p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [s(q)]_0$

(b) $\exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $p \oplus 1_k \sim_0 q \oplus 1_l$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$

(c) \exists scalar projections r_1 and r_2 s.t. $p \oplus r_1 \sim_0 q \oplus r_2$.

(ii) If $[p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 = 0$ then $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$p \oplus 1_m \sim s(p) \oplus 1_m,$$

(iii) If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ a $*$ -homom., then

$$K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [s(p)]_0) = [\varphi(p)]_0 - [s(\varphi(p))]_0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}_0(\tilde{A}).$$

Pf

For $p \in \mathcal{P}_0(\tilde{A})$,

$$K_0(\pi)([p]_0 - [s(p)]_0) = [\pi(p)]_0 - [L\pi \circ s(p)]_0 = 0$$

\uparrow
 $\pi = \pi \circ s$

$$\Rightarrow [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \in \ker(K_0(\pi)) = K_0(A),$$

conversely let $g \in K_0(A)$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, ^{projections} $e, f \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ s.t.

$$g = [e]_0 - [f]_0. \text{ Let}$$

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n - f \end{pmatrix}, \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}(\tilde{A})$ and

$$[p]_0 - [q]_0 = [e]_0 + [1_n - f]_0 - [1_n]_0 = [e]_0 - [f]_0 = g,$$

$$q = s(q) \text{ and } K_0(\pi)(g) = 0, \text{ so}$$

$$[s(p)]_0 - [q]_0 = [s(p)]_0 - [s(q)]_0 = K_0(s)(g) = (K_0(\pi) \circ K_0(\pi))^{-1}(0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 + [s(p)]_0 - [q]_0 = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0.$$

(i) (a) \Rightarrow (c)

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\tilde{A})$ with $[p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [s(q)]_0$. Then

$$[p \oplus s(q)]_0 = [q \oplus s(p)]_0 \text{ and so } p \oplus s(q) \sim s(q) \oplus s(p) \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tilde{A})$$

(prop. from last week). Also from last week $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$p \oplus \underbrace{s(q)}_{r_1} \oplus 1_n \sim_0 q \oplus \underbrace{s(p)}_{r_2} \oplus 1_n.$$

(c) \Rightarrow (b)

r_1, r_2 scalar projections of dim. k, l resp., then

• $r_1 \sim_0 l_k$ and $r_2 \sim_0 l_l$ (exercise)

So $p \oplus l_k \sim_0 q \oplus l_l$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a)

$[p \oplus l_k]_0 - [s(p \oplus l_k)]_0 = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0$, so it suffices to show that $[p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 = [q]_0 - [s(q)]_0$ when $p \sim_0 q$.

Suppose $p = v^*v$, $q = vv^*$ for some partial isometry $v \in M_{m,n}(\tilde{A})$.

$s(v) \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_{m,n}(\tilde{A})$ ($s(v)$ componentwise).

Then $s(v)^*s(v) = s(p)$ and $s(v)s(v)^* = s(q)$, so $s(p) \sim_0 s(q)$.

• Hence $[p]_0 = [q]_0$ and $[s(p)]_0 = [s(q)]_0$, so (a) holds.

(ii) If $[p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 = 0$, then $p \sim_s s(p)$ (last week).

$\Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $p \oplus l_m \sim s(p) \oplus l_m$.

(iii) $K_0(\varphi)([p]_0 - [s(p)]_0) = K_0(\tilde{\varphi})([p]_0 - [s(p)]_0)$
 $= [\tilde{\varphi}(p)]_0 - [\tilde{\varphi}(s(p))]_0 = [\tilde{\varphi}(p)]_0 - [s(\tilde{\varphi}(p))]_0$ \blacksquare

LEM 4.2.3

$\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ a $*$ -hom. Suppose $g \in K_0(A)$ satisfies $g \in \ker(K_0(\varphi))$.

Then

(i) $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in P_n(\tilde{A})$, and unitary $u \in M_n(\tilde{B})$ s.t.

$$g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$u\tilde{\varphi}(p)u^* = s(\tilde{\varphi}(p))$$

(ii) If φ is surjective, $\exists p \in P_\infty(\tilde{A})$ s.t.

$$g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}(p) = s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)).$$

Pf

By 4.2.2 $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_i \in P_k(\tilde{A})$ with $g = [p_i]_0 - [s(p_i)]_0$ and

• $[\tilde{\varphi}(p_i)]_0 - [s(\tilde{\varphi}(p_i))]_0 = 0$. Also

$\tilde{\varphi}(p_i) \oplus l_m \sim s(\tilde{\varphi}(p_i)) \oplus l_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $p_2 = p_1 \oplus 1_m$. Then $p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{k+m}(\tilde{A})$ and

$$g = [p_2]_0 - [s(p_2)]_0, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(p_2) = \tilde{\varphi}(p_1) \oplus 1_m \sim s(\tilde{\varphi}(p_1)) \oplus 1_m = s(\tilde{\varphi}(p_2)).$$

Let $n = 2(k+m)$ and 0_{k+m} be the 0-projection in $M_{k+m}(\tilde{A})$.

Set $p = p_2 \oplus 0_{k+m}$, so $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\tilde{A})$.

$$g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \text{ and}$$

$$u \tilde{\varphi}(p) u^* = ~~s(\tilde{\varphi}(p))~~ s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) \text{ for some unitary } u \in M_n(\tilde{B}) \quad (2.2.8)$$

(ii) Take n, p_1 and u from (i) and set $p_1 = p$ rename p

to p_1 . By LEM 2.1.7 \exists unitary $v \in M_{2n}(\tilde{A})$ with

$$\tilde{\varphi}(v) = \text{diag}(u, u^*), \text{ let } p = v \text{diag}(p_1, 0_n) v^*. \text{ Then } p$$

is a projection in $M_{2n}(\tilde{A})$ and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}(p_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^* & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s(\tilde{\varphi}(p_1)) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So $s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\varphi}(p)$, and

$$g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \text{ since } p \sim 0_{p_1}. \quad \square$$

Half-Split Exactness and Stability

LEM 4.3.1

Let $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\psi} B \rightarrow 0$ be a S.E.S. of

C^* -alg.s, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(i) $\tilde{\varphi}_n: M_n(I) \rightarrow M_n(\tilde{A})$ is injective

(ii) $\forall a \in M_n(\tilde{A})$,

$$a \in \text{im}(\tilde{\varphi}_n) \iff \tilde{\psi}_n(a) = s_n(\tilde{\psi}_n(a))$$

PROP 4.3.2 'Half-exactness'

Every S.E.S. of C^* -alg.s

$0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\psi} B \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact seq.

of abelian gp.s

$$K_0(I) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi)} K_0(A) \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi)} K_0(B) \rightarrow 0$$

Pf

By functoriality of K_0 ,

$$K_0(\varphi) \circ K_0(\varphi) = K_0(\varphi \circ \varphi) = K_0(0) = 0.$$

$$\rightarrow \text{im}(K_0(\varphi)) \subseteq \ker(K_0(\varphi)).$$

Conversely, let $g \in \ker(K_0(\varphi))$. By ~~the~~ lemma^{4.2.3}, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in P_n(\tilde{A})$ s.t. $g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = s(\tilde{\varphi}(p))$.

Also by ~~the~~ lemma^{4.3.1}, $\exists e \in M_n(\tilde{I})$ with $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = p$,

and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective. So e is a projection, and

$$g = [\tilde{\varphi}(e)]_0 - [s(\tilde{\varphi}(e))]_0 = K_0(e) ([e]_0 - [s(e)]_0) \in \text{im}(K_0(\varphi))$$

PROP. 4.3.3 (Split-exactness)

Every split exact ~~sequence~~ sequence of C^* -alg.s

$$0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\psi} B \rightarrow 0$$

induces a split exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(I) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi)} K_0(A) \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi)} K_0(B) \rightarrow 0.$$

Pf

By PROP. 4.3.2, the sequence is exact ^{at $K_0(A)$} . By functoriality,

$$\text{id}_{K_0(B)} = K_0(\text{id}_B) = K_0(\psi) \circ K_0(\tau).$$

So exact at $K_0(B)$.

W.T.S. $K_0(\varphi)$ is injective.

Let $g \in \ker(K_0(\varphi))$. By 4.2.3(i), $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, projection $p \in P_n(\tilde{I})$ and unitary $u \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ with

$$g = [p]_0 - [s(p)]_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u \tilde{\varphi}(p) u^* = \tilde{\varphi}(s(p))$$

Let $v = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(u^*) u$. Then v is a unitary in $M_n(\tilde{A})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(v) = 1$.

By 4.3.1(ii) $\exists m \in M_n(\tilde{I})$ with $\tilde{\varphi}(m) = v$,

$\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective, so m is unitary.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(m p m^*) &= v \tilde{\varphi}(p) v^* = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(u^*) s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(u) \\ &= (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(u^* s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) u) = (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = s(\tilde{\varphi}(p)) = \tilde{\varphi}(s(p)) \end{aligned}$$

By injectivity of \tilde{v} , $u p u^* = s(p)$,
 $\Rightarrow p \sim u s(p)$ in $M_n(\tilde{I})$
 $\Rightarrow g = 0$.

Could also prove this using the L.E.S. in K -thy. from ch. 9.

PROP. 4.3.4

$$K_0(A \oplus B) \cong K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B).$$

If $L_A: A \rightarrow A \oplus B$, $L_B: B \rightarrow A \oplus B$ are the canonical inclusion mappings, then

$$K_0(L_A) \oplus K_0(L_B): K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(A \oplus B)$$

is an isomorphism.

pf

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K_0(B) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow K_0(L_A) \oplus K_0(L_B) & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{K_0(L_A)} & K_0(A \oplus B) & \xrightarrow{K_0(L_B)} & K_0(B) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\alpha(g) = (g, 0)$, $\beta(g, h) = h$, $\pi_B(a, b) = b$

commutes: $\pi_B \circ L_A = 0$ and $\pi_B \circ L_B = \text{id}_B$,

So the middle arrow is an isomorphism.

Example

By split-exactness,

$$K_0(\mathbb{A}) \cong K_0(A) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall A.$$

K_0 is not exact:

Example

From various examples/exercises:

$$0 \rightarrow C_0((0, 1)) \xrightarrow{\psi} C([0, 1]) \xrightarrow{\psi} C \oplus C \rightarrow 0$$

$$K_0(C \oplus C) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad K_0(C([0, 1])) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

So $K_0(\psi)$ isn't surjective.

Example

Let H be a separable infinite-dim. Hilbert space,
 K the ideal of cpt. operators in $B(H)$.

$\frac{B(H)}{K}$ is the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q}(H)$, S.E.S.

$$0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\iota} B(H) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{Q}(H) \rightarrow 0$$

$$K_0(B(H)) \cong 0 \text{ and } K_0(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

So K_0 isn't injective.

PROP 4.3.8 (Stability)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $K_0(A) \cong K_0(M_n(A))$.

The $*$ -homom.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n,A} : A &\rightarrow M_n(A) \\ a &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

induces an isomorphism $K_0(\pi_{n,A}) : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(M_n(A))$.

Pf (idea)

Formalise the fact that $P_\infty(A) = P_\infty(M_n(A))$.