

Rational Points Talk 1

Adèle Ring

Let K be a global field, let Ω_K denote the set of places of K . Let S be a finite set $S \subseteq \Omega_K$ containing all archimedean places

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = \{a \in K \mid v(a) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \notin S\}$$

the ring of S -integers

Def Given K global field the adèle ring of K A_K is

$$A_K = \prod'_{v \in \Omega_K} (K_v, \mathcal{O}_{K_v})$$

i.e

$$A_K = \left\{ (x_v) \in \prod_v K_v \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_{K_v} \text{ for all but finitely many } v \right\}$$

We equip A_K with the unique topology s.t.

- A_K is a topological group (topological space, $\cdot^{-1}$ continuous)
- $\prod_{v \in \Omega_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ is open
- the subspace topology on $\prod_{v \in \Omega_K} \mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ agrees w/ product topology.

KEY QUESTIONS

Let K be a field and X a variety over K .

- Does X have a K -rational pt?

↳ To answer over arbitrary X is equivalent to Hilbert's 10th problem over K :

Does there exist an algorithm taking in $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ & puts out YES or NO according to if $\exists a \in K^n$ s.t. $f(a) = 0$

- Is $X(k)$ finite? If so can we list all the points?

- Is $X(k)$ Zariski dense in X ?

- OR does there exist finite L/k s.t. $X(L)$ is Zariski dense in X ?

Hasse Principle

Does for k global, does

$$X(k_v) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall v \in \Omega_k \Rightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$$

Counter-Ex.

$$(x^2-2)(x^2-17)(x^2-34) / \mathbb{Q}$$

For v archimedean k_v has $\sqrt{2} \sqrt{17} \sqrt{34} \checkmark$

- For $v=2$ has solution. - indeed

$$1^2 - 17 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$

so Hensel's lemma gives soln in $\mathbb{Q}_2$

- For $v=7$ we have

$$3^2 - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

so Hensel's lemma gives soln in $\mathbb{Q}_7$

- For finite $p \neq 2, 7$ either 2 or 7 is a square mod p so Hensel gives soln. OR neither 2 nor 7 is a square mod p so 34 is giving soln. via Hensel.

- Clearly $(x^2-2)(x^2-17)(x^2-34)$ has no $\mathbb{Q}$ soln.

- For k global, $v \in \Omega_k$ is $X(k)$ dense in $X(k_v)$? (wrt v -adic topology)

Weak

Is $X(k) \rightarrow \prod X(k_v)$ dense wrt product topology of v -adic topologies?

Weak Weak

Approx.

Is $X(k) \rightarrow \prod X(k_v)$ dense where S is a finite set? $v \notin S$

Strong
Approx.

- Is $X(k) \rightarrow X(A_k)$ dense wrt adelic topology?
- Is $X(k) \rightarrow X(A_k^S)$ dense wrt adelic topology where $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ is finite?
- Given H a height function on $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ for $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$
 $N_X(B) = \# \{x \in X(k) \mid H(x) \leq B\}$
 $\hookrightarrow$ Can one predict growth of $N_X(B)$ as $B \rightarrow \infty$
 (Manin's conjecture).

What is known

nice.

Let X be a nice curve over a global field k of genus g

$g=0$

Either $X(k) = \emptyset$ or $X(k) \cong \mathbb{P}_k$

$g=1$

w/ specified rat. pt. we have ell. curve.

Mordell-Weil tells us

$X(k)$ is fin. gen. abelian group.

~~Torsion is one of~~ For $k = \mathbb{Q}$ the torsion is one of

(Mazur) 15 groups. Rank hard to calculate.

$g \geq 2$ (Faltings) $X(k)$ is finite.

(Note Not effective i.e. can't find the points).

For X abelian variety we have Mordell-Weil.

Thm (Hasse - Minkowski) The Hasse principle holds for quadratic forms (i.e. degree 2 homogeneous polynomials).

Hilbert's 10th problem is known not to hold for global function fields.

Aims of reading group

Faithfully Flat Descent:

To describe a scheme X/S it is enough to describe a scheme Y/T where $T \rightarrow S$ is faithfully flat - along with 'descent data'. For this may mean describing a scheme over a finite ext. $\mathbb{A}^1/k$ instead of $\mathbb{A}^1$.

Why? If X/S is descended from Y/T , X inherits properties from Y .

Braver Manin Obstruction:

This is a cohomological obstruction to the Hasse principle.

For given variety X/k we construct a set

$$X(A_k)^{\text{Br}}$$

such that $X(k) \hookrightarrow X(A_k)^{\text{Br}} \hookrightarrow X(A_k)$.

It is possible $X(A_k) \neq \emptyset$ but $X(A_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$

- we call this the Braver Manin obstruction.

Descent

Another cohomological obstruction to the Hasse principle. Again we construct set

$$X(A_k)^{\text{descent}}$$

such that $X(k) \hookrightarrow X(A_k)^{\text{descent}} \hookrightarrow X(A_k)$.

This is done via the theory of Selmer groups.

STRONGER than Braver-Manin.

- the subspace topology on $\prod_{v \in \Omega_K} \mathcal{O}_v$ agrees w/ product topology.

Étale Algebras

This is the generalised notion of finite sep. field ext.

Problem: L/K field ext. K'/K field ext.
 $L \otimes_K K'$ might not be a field!

Ex $K = \mathbb{Q}$. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7})$ sep. field ext.
 But! $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ not a field!

Def A K -algebra L is étale if one of the following equivalent conditions hold

- L direct prod. of fin. sep. ext. of K
- $L \otimes_K K_S$ is a finite product of copies of K_S
- $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ is finite & étale.

A morphism of étale algebras is a morphism of K -alg.

Grothendieck generalised Galois theory to étale algebras:

Thm The functors ^{i.e. set G_K acts on}
 $\{\text{finite } G_K\text{-sets}\}^{\text{op}} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{étale } K\text{-algebras}\}$
 $S \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{G_K\text{-sets}}(S, K_S)$
 $= \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(S, K_S)^{G_K}$

$\text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(L, K_S) \longleftarrow L$
 are inverse equivalences of categories.

Recall C^{op} . $\text{Ob}(C^{\text{op}}) := \text{Ob}(C)$
 $\text{Hom}_{C^{\text{op}}}(a, b) = \text{Hom}_C(b, a)$

ex. Let S be transitive G_K set, i.e. $S = G/H$
 for H open subgroup of G_K the corr. étale algebra
 is $\text{Hom}_{G_K\text{-sets}}(G/H, k_S) = (k_S)^H$.

In general finite G_K set S is finite disjoint union
 $\bigsqcup S_i$ of transitive G_K sets.

If S_i corr to the finite sep. ext. L_i then
 S corr to $\prod L_i$.

Def Let L be an étale alg w/ left action by a
 finite group G . If Ω/k is a field ext
 then $L \otimes_k \Omega$ is an étale Ω -alg. w/ left
 G action & so is $\prod_{g \in G} \Omega = \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(G, \Omega)$ via the
 right translation G -action on G .

We call L a Galois étale k -algebra w/ Galois
 group G if for some field ext. Ω/k
 $L \otimes_k \Omega \cong \prod_{g \in G} \Omega$ as étale Ω -algebras.

Why must we specify G ?

Ex $L = k \times k \times k \times k$

$S_4, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ all act on L

w/ $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ $\curvearrowright L$ we see

L is a Galois étale k -alg w/ Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$

Since $L \otimes_k k \cong \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} k$

equally w/ $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \circ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. But $\text{Aut}(L/k) = S_4$
 i.e. $\text{Gal} \neq \text{Aut}$.

Galois descent:

L/k finite Galois ext. w/ G.G. G An action of
 G on an L -vector space W is semilinear if $\forall \sigma \in G$
 $\ell \in L, w \in W$

$$\sigma(\ell w) = \sigma(\ell) \sigma(w)$$

Ex. $W = L^n$

define $G \curvearrowright W$ by

$$\sigma(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (\sigma(w_1), \dots, \sigma(w_n))$$

$$\text{Let } W^G = \{w \in W \mid gw = w \ \forall g \in G\}$$

Lemma Let V be a k -v.s.

$$V \longmapsto (V \otimes_k L)^G$$

$$v \longmapsto v \otimes 1$$

is an isomorphism

Lemma. Let W be an L -v.s. with semilinear G -action then

$$W^G \otimes_k L \longrightarrow W$$

$$w \otimes \ell \longrightarrow \ell w$$

is an isomorphism.

Thm Let L/k be a finite Galois ext. of fields w/ Galois group G . The functors

$$\{k\text{-v.s.}\} \xrightleftharpoons[G\text{-invariant}]{\otimes_k L} \{L\text{-v.s. w/ semilinear } G\text{-action}\}$$

are inverse equivalences of categories

Cor L/k finite Galois ext. of fields w/ Galois group G
For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \exists$ only one r -dim L -v.s.
w/ semilinear G action up to isomorphism

Group / Galois Cohomology

Let G be a finite group

$$\mathbb{Z}G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} n_g g \mid n_g \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

↳ the integral group ring.

Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module.

For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ $\exists$ a unique group $H^i(G, M)$

the i th cohomology group of G by M .

Ex. - $H^0(G, M) = M^G = \{m \in M \mid gm = m \ \forall g \in G\}$
the invariants.

- $H^1(G, M) = \frac{\{\varphi: G \rightarrow M \mid \varphi(gh) = g\varphi(h) + \varphi(g)\}}{\{\varphi: G \rightarrow M \mid \exists m \varphi(g) = gm - m\}}$

the set on the top are called the derivations of G w/ coefficients in M , on the bottom we have the inner derivations.

Note. If G acts trivially on M $H^1(G, M) = \text{Hom}(G, M)$

- $H^2(G, M) = \frac{\{\varphi: G \times G \rightarrow M \mid g\varphi(h, k) - \varphi(gh, k) + \varphi(g, hk) - \varphi(g, h)k = 0\}}{\{\varphi: G \times G \rightarrow M \mid \varphi(g, h) = g\sigma(h) - \sigma(gh) + \sigma(g)\}}$

where σ is a derivation of G w/ coeff in M .

Let k be a global field. We let

$$H^i(\mathbb{A}_k, M) \text{ denote } H^i(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), M).$$

Ex. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $H^1(k, \mu_n) = k^\times / (k^\times)^n$.

Prop. We have a long exact sequence Let

$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(G, M_2) \rightarrow H^n(G, M_3) \xrightarrow{f} H^{n+1}(G, M_1) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(G, M_2).$$

Thm (H90) Let L/k be Galois ext of fields
 $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/k), L^\times) = 0.$

Def. Given a field k $\text{Br}(k)$, the Brauer group of k is
 $H^2(\text{Gal}(k/k), k^\times)$

Note - You can also describe the Brauer group as central simple k -algebras up to equivalence w/ group operation $\otimes$.

Ex. If k is alg. closed $\text{Br}(k) = 0.$

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \xrightarrow{\sim} k^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} k^\times \rightarrow 1$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} k^\times &\rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(k, k^\times) \rightarrow H^1(k, k^\times) \\ \mu_n &\rightarrow k^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} k^\times \rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_n) = k^\times / (k^\times)^n.$$

This also gives us

$$H^2(k, \mu_n) = \text{Br}(k)[n]$$

Thm Let k be local field.

i) $\exists$ an injection $\text{inv}: \text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ whose image is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} & k = \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & k = \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & k \text{ non-arch} \end{cases}$$

ii) If L/k finite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Br } k & \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \times [L:k] \\ \text{Br } L & \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes

Thm Let K be a global field. For each $v \in \Omega_K$ let K_v be its completion at v . Let $\text{inv}_v: \text{Br } K_v \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be as above. Then we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Br } K \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br } K_v \xrightarrow{\sum_v \text{inv}_v} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1.$$