

Stability Criteria

by David Cueto Noval

Thursday, 31, October, 2024

References:

Hoskins, Victoria - Moduli Problems and Geometric Invariant Theory

0. Setting

G reductive group

X projective scheme

$G \curvearrowright X$

L ample linearization

Want: $X^{ss}(L) \subset X$

Need: G -invariant sections of all $L^{\otimes r}$

Problem: often hard/impossible to compute

Answer: criteria to determine semistability

↳ the topological criterion

↳ the Hilbert-Mumford criterion

Recall: simplification

$X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and linear G -action (for some r , reembedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^*)$)
s.t. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|_X = L^{\otimes r}$ and G acts linearly on $\mathbb{P}^n$)

G acts via $G \rightarrow GL_{n+1} \rightsquigarrow$ lifts to $G \curvearrowright \tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ affine cone

$$\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$$

1. Topological Criterion

Proposition:

Let $x \in X(k)$ and choose non-zero lift $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}(k)$ of x .

1. x semistable $\iff 0 \notin \overline{G \cdot \tilde{x}}$.

2. x stable $\iff \dim G_{\tilde{x}} = 0$ and $G \cdot \tilde{x}$ closed in $\tilde{X}$.

Proof:

1. $x \in X^{ss}(k) \rightarrow \exists f \in R(X)^G$ s.t. $f(x) \neq 0 \rightarrow f(\tilde{x}) \neq 0 \implies$
 $f(\overline{G \cdot \tilde{x}})$

$\rightarrow f$ separates the closed subschemes $\overline{G \cdot \tilde{x}}$ and $0 \implies$

$\implies \overline{G \cdot \tilde{x}} \cap 0 = \emptyset$.

This argument can be reversed to get $\iff$.

2. [See Hoskins]

□

2. The Hilbert-Mumford Criterion

projective scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with linear G -action of a reductive group G .

Definition

A 1-parameter subgroup (1-PS) of G is a non-trivial group homomorphism $\lambda: G_m \rightarrow G$.

Notation:

For $x \in X(k)$ and λ 1-PS, let $\lambda_x: G_m \rightarrow X$
 $t \mapsto \lambda(t) \cdot x$

Construction:

X projective $\Rightarrow$ proper over $\text{Spec } k$ $\xrightarrow[\text{criterion for properness}]{\text{valuation}}$ $\exists! \hat{\lambda}_x: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_x} & X \\ \downarrow [t:1] & \searrow \hat{\lambda}_x & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } k & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x := \hat{\lambda}_x([1:0])$$

$\hookrightarrow y := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x$ fixed by $\lambda(G_m)$ -action $\Rightarrow$ see the fiber over y of line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|_X$
 the group $\lambda(G_m)$ acts by character $t \mapsto t^r$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot x := \hat{\lambda}_x([0:1])$$

$\hookrightarrow$ unnecessary as $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot x$

Definition:

Hilbert-Mumford weight of the action of the 1-PS λ on $x \in X(k)$

$$\mu^{\mathcal{O}(1)}(x, \lambda) := r$$

$\hookrightarrow$ weight of $\lambda(G_m)$ on the fiber $\mathcal{O}(1)_y$

More explicitly:

tautological bundle $= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ is the blow up of the affine cone $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{P}^n$ at the origin

pick a non-zero lift $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ of $x \in X$

$\lambda_{\tilde{x}} := \lambda(-) \cdot \tilde{x} : G_m \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ (might not extend to $\mathbb{P}^1$ as $\tilde{X}$ not proper)

$\hookrightarrow$ if it extends to 0 (or ∞) call the limits $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$

$(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x})$

$\hookrightarrow$ any pt. in boundary $\overline{\lambda_{\tilde{x}}(G_m)} \setminus \lambda_{\tilde{x}}(G_m)$ must be equal to either of these pts.

$\lambda(G_m) \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ linear $\Rightarrow$ diagonalizable $\Rightarrow$ pick basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in k^{n+1}$ s.t.

$$\lambda(t) \cdot e_i = t^{r_i} e_i$$

for $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\tilde{x} = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i e_i \rightarrow \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \sum t^{r_i} x_i e_i$$

$$\lambda\text{-wt}(x) := \{r_i : x_i \neq 0\}$$

$\hookrightarrow$ independent of choice of lift $\tilde{x}$

Definition:

Hilbert-Mumford weight of x at $\lambda \equiv \mu(x, \lambda) := -\min\{r_i : x_i \neq 0\}$.

Properties:

1. $\mu(x, \lambda)$ = unique integer s.t. line $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^\mu \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$ exists and is non-zero.
2. $\mu(x, \lambda^n) = n\mu(x, \lambda)$ for positive n .
3. $\mu(g \cdot x, g\lambda g^{-1}) = \mu(x, \lambda) \quad \forall g \in G$.
4. $\mu(x, \lambda) = \mu(y, \lambda)$ where $y = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x$.

Lemma:

$$\mu^{(1)}(x, \lambda) = \mu(x, \lambda).$$

Proof:

Choosing coords. as above,

$$\lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \lambda(t) \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n) = (t^{r_0} x_0, \dots, t^{r_n} x_n).$$

Then

$$\tilde{y} := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\mu(x, \lambda)} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = (y_0, \dots, y_n)$$

$$\text{with } y_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } r_i = -\mu(x, \lambda) \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $\lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{y} = t^{-\mu(x, \lambda)} \tilde{y} \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{weight of the } \lambda(G_m)\text{-action on } \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{y} \text{ lies over } y := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x}} \text{weight of the } \lambda(G_m)\text{-action on } \tilde{y} = -\mu(x, \lambda) \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \text{weight of the } \lambda(G_m)\text{-action on } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n(-1)}_y = -\mu(x, \lambda) \Rightarrow$$

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n(-1)}$ is the blow up of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ at 0

$$\Rightarrow \mu^{(1)}(x, \lambda) = \text{weight of the } \lambda(G_m)\text{-action on } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n(1)}_y = \mu(x, \lambda) \quad \square$$

Lemma

$$\mu(x, \lambda) < 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i > 0} x_i e_i \Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = 0$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &\tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i > 0} x_i e_i \\ &\text{and } \exists r_i = 0 \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq 0 \end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} \neq 0$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &\tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i < 0} x_i e_i \\ &\text{and } \exists r_i < 0 \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq 0 \end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \nexists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$$

By applying this to λ^{-1} , we get:

$$\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) < 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i < 0} x_i e_i \Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = 0$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &\tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i < 0} x_i e_i \\ &\text{and } \exists r_i = 0 \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq 0 \end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} \neq 0$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &\tilde{x} = \sum_{r_i > 0} x_i e_i \\ &\text{and } \exists r_i > 0 \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq 0 \end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \nexists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$$

Lemma:

1. x is semistable for the action of $\lambda(G_m) \Leftrightarrow \mu(x, \lambda) \geq 0$ and $\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) \geq 0$

2. x is stable for the action of $\lambda(G_m) \Leftrightarrow \mu(x, \lambda) > 0$ and $\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) > 0$

Proof:

Follows from previous lemma and topological criterion, as the only boundary points of $\lambda(G_m) \cdot \tilde{x}$ are $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x}$.

• semistable $\Leftrightarrow 0 \notin \overline{\lambda(G_m) \cdot \tilde{x}} \Leftrightarrow \text{limits } \nexists \text{ or } \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(x, \lambda), \mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) \geq 0$

• stable $\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\text{semistable} + \text{closed orbit}}_{\nexists \text{ limits}} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = 0}_{\mu(x, \lambda), \mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) > 0}$

Follows immediately because if the limits $\nexists$, then $\tilde{x}$ is not fixed by $\lambda(G_m)$

□

Remark:

(semi)stable for $G \Rightarrow$ (semi)stable for all subgroups $H \subset G$

$\forall x \in X(k)$: x semistable $\Rightarrow \mu(x, \lambda) \geq 0 \quad \forall 1\text{-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$

x stable $\Rightarrow \mu(x, \lambda) > 0 \quad \forall 1\text{-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$

Theorem: Hilbert-Mumford Criterion

G reductive group acting linearly on proj scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $x \in X(k)$

$x \in X^{ss} \iff \mu(x, \lambda) \geq 0 \quad \forall 1\text{-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$

$x \in X^s \iff \mu(x, \lambda) > 0 \quad \forall 1\text{-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$

equivalent by topological criterion and previous lemma

Theorem: Fundamental Theorem in GIT

G reductive group acting on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$

If $x \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is a closed pt and $y \in \overline{G \cdot x}$, then there is a

1-PS λ of G s.t. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x = y$.

Example:

$$G = \mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright X = \mathbb{P}^n \text{ by } t \cdot [x_0 : \dots : x_n] = [t^{-1}x_0 : tx_1 : \dots : tx_n]$$

$$\lambda(t) = t$$

$$\lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = (t^{-1}x_0, tx_1, \dots, tx_n)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \begin{cases} \neq 0, & \text{if } x_0 \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -(-1) = 1 > 0, & \text{if } x_0 \neq 0 \\ -1 < 0, & \text{if } x_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}(t) = t^{-1}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}(t) = (tx_0, t^{-1}x_1, \dots, t^{-1}x_n)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \begin{cases} \neq 0, & \text{if } x_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) = \begin{cases} -(-1) = 1 > 0, & \text{if } x_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \geq 1 \\ -1 < 0, & \text{if } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{ss}(k) = X^s(k) = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid x_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \geq 1\}$$

$\hookrightarrow$ coincides with what we got last week:

$$R(X) = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

$$R(X)^G = k[x_0x_1, \dots, x_0x_n] \cong k[y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}]$$

$$X = \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \text{Proj } R(X)^G = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

$$[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \longmapsto [x_0x_1 : \dots : x_0x_n]$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^s(k) &= X^{ss}(k) = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : \exists i \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } x_0x_i \neq 0\} = \\ &= \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : x_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } \exists i \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq 0\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{A}^n - \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise:

$$G = G_m \curvearrowright X = \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ by } t[x:y:z] = [tx:y:t^{-1}z]$$

$$\lambda(t) = t$$

$$\lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = (tx, y, t^{-1}z)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ (0, y, 0), & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -(-1) = 1 > 0, & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ -0 = 0, & \text{if } z = 0 \text{ and } y \neq 0 \\ -1 < 0, & \text{if } z = 0 \text{ and } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}(t) = t^{-1}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = (t^{-1}x, y, tx)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{x} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ (0, y, 0), & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(x, \lambda^{-1}) = \begin{cases} -(-1) = 1 > 0, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ -0 = 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y \neq 0 \\ -1 < 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$X^{ss}(k) = \{[x:y:z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid (x \neq 0 \text{ and } z \neq 0) \text{ or } (y \neq 0)\}$$

$\mathbb{A}^1 \rightsquigarrow X^s(k) = X^{ss}(k) \setminus \{[0:1:0] \in \mathbb{P}^2\} \rightsquigarrow$ makes sense because $[0:1:0]$ is a fixed point (stabilizer = whole group)

$$X^s(k) = \{[x:y:z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x \neq 0 \text{ and } z \neq 0\}$$

3. The Hilbert-Mumford Criterion for Ample Linearization

Definition:

Hilbert-Mumford weight of a 1-PS λ and $x \in X(k)$ wrt L is

$$\mu^L(x, \lambda) := r = \text{weight of the } \lambda(G_m)\text{-action on the fiber } L_y \text{ over the fixed pt } y := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x$$

Theorem: Hilbert-Mumford Criterion for ample linearization

G reductive group acting on projective scheme X

L ample linearization

$x \in X(k)$

$$x \in X^{ss}(L) \iff \mu^L(x, \lambda) \geq 0 \quad \forall \text{ 1-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$$

$$x \in X^s(L) \iff \mu^L(x, \lambda) > 0 \quad \forall \text{ 1-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G$$

Proof:

L ample $\rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$ very ample for some n

enough to check for $L^{\otimes n}$ as $\mu^{L^{\otimes n}}(x, \lambda) = n \mu^L(x, \lambda)$

$L^{\otimes n}$ induces G -equivariant embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ s.t. $L \cong i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$.

Result follows from linear setting.

□

4. Proof of the Fundamental Theorem in GIT

— suffices to prove this weaker version

Theorem:

G reductive group acting linearly on A^n , $z \in A^n(k)$.

If 0 lies in the $\overline{G \cdot z}$, then $\exists$ 1-PS λ of G s.t. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot z = 0$.

Proof:

Assume $0 \in \overline{G \cdot z}$.

• Step 1:

lemma $\Rightarrow \exists$ irreducible curve (not necessarily complete or smooth)

$$C_1 \subset G \cdot z$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \in \overline{C_1}.$$

• Step 2:

lemma $\Rightarrow \exists$ smooth projective curve C , a rational map $p: C \dashrightarrow G$ and $c_0 \in C(k)$ s.t.

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow c_0} p(c) \cdot z = 0.$$

• Step 3:

C smooth proper curve $\Rightarrow$ completion of local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C, c_0}$ of C at c_0

$\cong$

$k[[t]]$ formal power series

$\downarrow$

field of fractions $\cong k((t))$ Laurent series (only finitely many terms of deg < 0)

$p: C \dashrightarrow G$ induces morphism $g: K \rightarrow G$
 defined in
 punctured
 neighborhood of c_0

$$g: K := \operatorname{Spec} k((t)) \cong \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Frac} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C, c_0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{C, c_0} \rightarrow G$$

$$\text{s.t. } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [g(t) \cdot z] = 0. \quad \hookrightarrow K\text{-valued pt of } G$$

• Step 4:

$$\triangleright K := \operatorname{Spec} k((t)) \longrightarrow R := \operatorname{Spec} k[[t]] \text{ natural morphism}$$

$\Rightarrow R$ -valued pts of G form a subgroup of the K -valued pts
 i.e., $G(R) \subset G(K)$

$\triangleright \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow R$ induces $G(R) \rightarrow G(k)$ given by
 taking specialization $t \rightarrow 0$.

$$\triangleright K \rightarrow G_m = \operatorname{Spec} k[s, s^{-1}] \text{ induced by } k[s, s^{-1}] \rightarrow k((t))$$

$$s \mapsto t$$

for 1-PS λ of G define its Laurent series expansion

$$\langle \lambda \rangle \in G(K)$$

ii

$$(K \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{\lambda} G)$$

• Step 5:

Cartan-Iwahori (every double coset in $G(K)$ for the subgroup $G(R)$ is represented by a Laurent series expansion $\langle \lambda \rangle$ of 1-PS of G)

$\Rightarrow$ as $g \in G(K)$, $\exists l_1, l_2 \in G(R)$ and $\exists$ 1-PS λ of G s.t.

$$l_1 \cdot g = \langle \lambda \rangle \cdot l_2$$

g not R -valued point of $G \Rightarrow \lambda$ non-trivial.

• Step 6:

let $g_i := l_i(0) \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = g_1 \cdot 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} l_1(t) \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (g(t) \cdot z) = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} [(\langle \lambda \rangle \cdot l_2)(t) \cdot z] \quad [1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} l_2 \in G(R) \\ g_2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} l_2(t) \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow l_2(t) \cdot z = g_2 \cdot z + \varepsilon(t) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_2 \cdot z)_r + \varepsilon(t)_r \quad [2] \\ \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ \text{only positive} \\ \text{powers of } t \end{array} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ \text{Action of 1-PS } \lambda \text{ on} \\ V = A^n \text{ decomposes} \\ \text{into weight spaces} \\ V_r, r \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

$$[1] \text{ \& } [2] \Rightarrow (g_2 \cdot z)_r = 0 \ \forall r \leq 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot g_2 \cdot z = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda' := g_2^{-1} \lambda g_2 \text{ is a 1-PS on } G \text{ with } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda'(t) \cdot z = 0.$$

□